

Number Theory: Euclidean Algorithm & Congruences Sections 4.3-4.4

CS261 Mathematical Foundations of CS Professor Leah Buechley Spring 2024 University of New Mexico

© McGraw Hill LLC. All rights reserved. No reproduction or distribution without the prior written consent of McGraw Hill LLC.

Greatest Common Divisor 1

Definition: Let *a* and *b* be integers, not both zero. The largest integer *d* such that $d \mid a$ and also $d \mid b$ is called the greatest common divisor of *a* and *b*. The greatest common divisor of *a* and *b* is denoted by gcd(*a*,*b*).

One can find greatest common divisors of small numbers by inspection.

Example: What is the greatest common divisor of 24 and 36?

Solution: gcd(24, 36) = 12

Example: What is the greatest common divisor of 17 and 22?

Solution: gcd(17,22) = 1

Greatest Common Divisor 2

Definition: The integers *a* and *b* are *relatively prime* if their greatest common divisor is 1.

Example: 17 and 22.

Definition: The integers $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ are *pairwise relatively prime* if $gcd(a_i, a_j) = 1$ whenever $1 \le i < j \le n$.

Example: Determine whether the integers 10, 17 and 21 are pairwise relatively prime.

Solution: Because gcd(10,17) = 1, gcd(10,21) = 1, and gcd(17,21) = 1, 10, 17, and 21 are pairwise relatively prime.

Example: Determine whether the integers 10, 19, and 24 are pairwise relatively prime.

Solution: Because gcd(10,24) = 2, 10, 19, and 24 are not pairwise relatively prime.

Finding the Greatest Common Divisor Using Prime Factorizations

Suppose the prime factorizations of *a* and *b* are:

$$a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_n^{a_n}$$
, $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \dots p_n^{b_n}$,

where each exponent is a nonnegative integer, and where all primes occurring in either prime factorization are included in both. Then:

$$gcd(a,b) = p_1^{\min(a_1,b_1)} p_2^{\min(a_2,b_2)} \dots p_n^{\min(a_n,b_n)}$$

This formula is valid since the integer on the right (of the equals sign) divides both *a* and *b*. No larger integer can divide both *a* and *b*. **Example**: $120 = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ $500 = 2^2 \cdot 5^3$ $gcd(120,500) = 2^{min(3,2)} \cdot 3^{min(1,0)} \cdot 5^{min(1,3)} = 2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^1 = 20$ Finding the gcd of two positive integers using their prime factorizations is not efficient because there is no efficient algorithm for finding the prime factorization of a positive integer.

Least Common Multiple

Definition: The least common multiple of the positive integers *a* and *b* is the smallest positive integer that is divisible by both *a* and *b*. It is denoted by lcm(*a*,*b*).

The least common multiple can also be computed from the prime factorizations. $\max(a, b) \max(a, b) \max(a, b) \max(a, b) \max(a, b)$

$$\operatorname{Icm}(a,b) = p_1^{\max(a_1,b_1)} p_2^{\max(a_2,b_2)} \dots p_n^{\max(a_n,bn)},$$

This number is divided by both *a* and *b* and no smaller number is divided by *a* and *b*.

Example:
$$\operatorname{lcm}(2^{3}3^{5}7^{2}, 2^{4}3^{3}) = 2^{\max(3,4)} 3^{\max(5,3)} 7^{\max(2,0)} = 2^{4} 3^{5} 7^{2}$$

The greatest common divisor and the least common multiple of two integers are related by:

Theorem 5: Let a and b be positive integers. Then

 $ab = gcd(a,b) \cdot lcm(a,b)$

(proof is Exercise 31)

Euclidean Algorithm 1

The Euclidian algorithm is an efficient method for computing the greatest common divisor of two integers. It is based on the idea that gcd(a,b) is equal to gcd(a,c) when a > b and cis the remainder when a is divided by b.



Euclid (325 B.C.E. – 265 B.C.E.)

Example: Find gcd(91, 287):

• $287 = 91 \cdot 3 + 14$ • $91 = 14 \cdot 6 + 7$ • $14 = 7 \cdot 2 + 0$ Stopping condition Divide 287 by 91 Divide 287 by 91

gcd(287, 91) = gcd(91, 14) = gcd(14, 7) = 7

Euclidean Algorithm 2

The Euclidean algorithm expressed in pseudocode is:

```
procedure gcd(a, b: positive integers)

x := a

y := b

while y \neq 0

r := x \mod y

x := y

y := r

return x \{gcd(a,b) \text{ is } x\}
```

In Section 5.3, we'll see that the time complexity of the algorithm is O (log b), where a > b.

Correctness of Euclidean Algorithm¹

Lemma 1: Let a = bq + r, where a, b, q, and r are integers. Then gcd(a,b) = gcd(b,r).

Proof:

- Suppose that *d* divides both *a* and *b*. Then *d* also divides *a bq* = *r* (by Theorem 1 of Section 4.1). Hence, any common divisor of *a* and *b* must also be any common divisor of *b* and *r*.
- Suppose that d divides both b and r. Then d also divides bq + r = a. Hence, any common divisor of a and b must also be a common divisor of b and r.
- Therefore, gcd(a,b) = gcd(b,r).

Correctness of Euclidean Algorithm²

Suppose that a and b are positive

integers with $a \ge b$.

Let $r_0 = a$ and $r_1 = b$.

Successive applications of the division

algorithm yields:

$$r_{n-2} = r_{n-1}q_{n-1} + r_2$$
 $0 \le r_n < r_{n-1}$,
 $r_{n-1} = r_nq_n$.

Eventually, a remainder of zero occurs in the sequence of terms: $a = r_0 > r_1 > r_2 > \cdots \ge 0$. The sequence can't contain more than a terms.

By Lemma 1

$$gcd(a,b) = gcd(r_0,r_1) = \cdots = gcd(r_{n-1},r_n) = gcd(r_n,0) = r_n.$$

Hence the greatest common divisor is the last nonzero remainder in the sequence of divisions.

gcds as Linear Combinations

Bézout's Theorem: If *a* and *b* are positive integers, then there exist integers *s* and *t* such that gcd(a,b) = sa + tb.

(proof in exercises of Section 5.2)

Definition: If *a* and *b* are positive integers, then integers *s* and *t* such that gcd(a,b) = sa + tb are called *Bézout coefficients* of *a* and *b*. The equation gcd(a,b) = sa + tb is called *Bézout's identity*.

By Bézout's Theorem, the gcd of integers *a* and *b* can be expressed in the form *sa* + *tb* where *s* and *t* are integers. This is a *linear combination* with integer coefficients of *a* and *b*.

•
$$gcd(6,14) = (-2) \cdot 6 + 1 \cdot 14.$$



Graphs of Functions

Example: Express gcd(252,198) = 18 as a linear combination of 252 and 198. **Solution**: First use the Euclidean algorithm to show gcd(252,198) = 18

- i. $252 = 1 \cdot 198 + 54$
- ii. $198 = 3 \cdot 54 + 36$
- iii. $54 = 1 \cdot 36 + 18$
- iv. $36 = 2 \cdot 18$

Now working backwards, from iii and i above

- 18 = 54 1.36.
- 36 = 198 3·54.

Substituting the 2nd equation into the 1st yields:

• $18 = 54 - 1 \cdot (198 - 3 \cdot 54) = 4 \cdot 54 - 1 \cdot 198.$

Substituting 54 = 252 - 1.198 (from i)) yields:

• $18 = 4 \cdot (252 - 1 \cdot 198) - 1 \cdot 198 = 4 \cdot 252 - 5 \cdot 198.$

This method illustrated above is a two pass method. It first uses the Euclidian algorithm to find the gcd and then works backwards to express the gcd as a linear combination of the original two integers. A one pass method, called the *extended Euclidean algorithm*, is developed in the exercises.

Consequences of Bézout's Theorem

Lemma 2: If *a*, *b*, and *c* are positive integers such that gcd(a, b) = 1 and $a \mid bc$, then $a \mid c$.

Proof: Assume gcd(a, b) = 1 and $a \mid bc$

- Since gcd(a, b) = 1, by Bézout's Theorem there are integers s and t such that sa + tb = 1.
- Multiplying both sides of the equation by *c*, yields *sac* + *tbc* = *c*.
- From Theorem 1 of Section 4.1:
 a / tbc (part ii) and a divides sac + tbc since a / sac and a / tbc (part i)
- We conclude a / c, since sac + tbc = c.

Lemma 3: If *p* is prime and $p \mid a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$, then $p \mid a_i$ for some *i*. (*proof uses mathematical induction; see Exercise* 64 *of Section* 5.1) Lemma 3 is crucial in the proof of the uniqueness of prime factorizations.

Uniqueness of Prime Factorization

We will prove that a prime factorization of a positive integer where the primes are in nondecreasing order is unique. (This part of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic. The other part, which asserts that every positive integer has a prime factorization into primes, will be proved in Section 5.2.)

Proof: (*by contradiction*) Suppose that the positive integer *n* can be written as a product of primes in two distinct ways:

$$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_s$$
 and $n = q_1 q_2 \cdots p_t$.

- Remove all common primes from the factorizations to get.
- By Lemma 3, it follows that divides, for some *k*, contradicting the assumption that and are distinct primes.
- Hence, there can be at most one factorization of *n* into primes in nondecreasing order.

Dividing Congruences by an Integer

Dividing both sides of a valid congruence by an integer does not always produce a valid congruence (see Section 4.1).

But dividing by an integer relatively prime to the modulus does produce a valid congruence:

Theorem 7: Let m be a positive integer and let a, b, and c be integers. If $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ and gcd(c,m) = 1, then $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$.

Proof: Since $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$, $m \mid ac - bc = c(a - b)$ by Lemma 2 and the fact that gcd(c,m) = 1, it follows that $m \mid a - b$. Hence, $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$.

Solving Congruences

Section 4.4

Section Summary 4

Linear Congruences.

The Chinese Remainder Theorem.

Computer Arithmetic with Large Integers (not currently included in slides, see text).

Fermat's Little Theorem.

Pseudorandom.

Primitive Roots and Discrete Logarithms.

Linear Congruences

Definition: A congruence of the form

 $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$,

where *m* is a positive integer, *a* and *b* are integers, and *x* is a variable, is called a *linear congruence*.

The solutions to a linear congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ are all integers x that satisfy the congruence.

Definition: An integer \overline{a} such that $\overline{a}a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ is said to be an *inverse* of *a* modulo *m*.

Example: 5 is an inverse of 3 modulo 7 since $5 \cdot 3 = 15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$.

One method of solving linear congruences makes use of an inverse \overline{a} , if it exists. Although we can not divide both sides of the congruence by a, we can multiply by \overline{a} to solve for x.

Inverse of a modulo m

The following theorem guarantees that an inverse of a modulo m exists whenever a and m are relatively prime. Two integers a and b are relatively prime when gcd(a,b) = 1.

Theorem 1: If *a* and *m* are relatively prime integers and m > 1, then an inverse of *a* modulo *m* exists. Furthermore, this inverse is unique modulo *m*. (This means that there is a unique positive integer \overline{a} less than *m* that is an inverse of *a* modulo *m* and every other inverse of *a* modulo *m* is congruent to \overline{a} modulo *m*.)

Proof: Since gcd(a,m) = 1, by Theorem 6 of Section 4.3, there are integers *s* and *t* such that sa + tm = 1.

- Hence, $sa + tm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.
- Since $tm \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, it follows that $sa \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.
- Consequently, *s* is an inverse of *a* modulo *m*.
- The uniqueness of the inverse is Exercise 7.

Finding Inverses 1

The Euclidean algorithm and Bézout coefficients gives us a systematic approaches to finding inverses.

Example: Find an inverse of 3 modulo 7.

Solution: Because gcd(3,7) = 1, by Theorem 1, an inverse of 3 modulo 7 exists.

- Using the Euclidian algorithm: $7 = 2 \cdot 3 + 1$.
- From this equation, we get -2·3 + 1·7 = 1, and see that -2 and 1 are Bézout coefficients of 3 and 7.
- Hence, -2 is an inverse of 3 modulo 7.
- Also every integer congruent to -2 modulo 7 is an inverse of 3 modulo 7, i.e., 5, -9, 12, etc.

Finding Inverses 2

Example: Find an inverse of 101 modulo 4620.

Solution: First use the Euclidian algorithm to show that gcd(101,4620) = 1. $42620 = 45 \cdot 101 + 75$ Working Backwards: $101 = 1 \cdot 75 + 26$ $1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2$ $1 = 3 - 1 \cdot (23 - 7 \cdot 3) = -1 \cdot 23 + 8 \cdot 3$ $75 = 2 \cdot 26 + 23$ $1 = -1 \cdot 23 + 8 \cdot (26 - 1 \cdot 23) = 8 \cdot 26 - 9 \cdot 23$ $26 = 1 \cdot 23 + 3$ $1 = 8 \cdot 26 - 9 \cdot (75 - 2 \cdot 26) = 26 \cdot 26 - 9 \cdot 75$ $23 = 7 \cdot 3 + 2$ $3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$ $1 = 26 \cdot (101 - 1 \cdot 75) - 9 \cdot 75$ $= 26 \cdot 101 - 35.75$ $2 = 2 \cdot 1$ Since the last nonzero remainder $1 = 26 \cdot 101 - 35 \cdot (42620 - 45 \cdot 101)$ is 1, gcd(101, 4260) = 1 $= -35 \cdot 42620 + 1601 \cdot 101$ Bézout coefficients : – 35 and 1601 1601 is an inverse of 101 modulo 42620

Using Inverses to Solve Congruences

We can solve the congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ by multiplying both sides by \overline{a} .

Example: What are the solutions of the congruence $3x \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$.

Solution: We found that –2 is an inverse of 3 modulo 7 (two slides back). We multiply both sides of the congruence by –2 giving

 $-2 \cdot 3x \equiv -2 \cdot 4 \pmod{7}.$

Because $-6 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ and $-8 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$, it follows that if x is a solution, then $x \equiv -8 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$

We need to determine if every x with $x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ is a solution. Assume that $x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$. By Theorem 5 of Section 4.1, it follows that $3x \equiv 3$. 6 = 18 \equiv 4(mod 7) which shows that all such x satisfy the congruence.

The solutions are the integers x such that $x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$, namely, 6,13,20 ... and $-1, -8, -15, \ldots$